From: To: Cc:

Sizewell C deadline 10

Date: 12 October 2021 15:21:16

Good morning,

I am writing to thank the planning inspectorate for the many hours you have spent examining EDFs proposal to build a twin Nuclear reactor at Sizewell and for giving me and others the opportunity to express our views.I am sure it has been a long and often arduous task for many of us.

I have engaged with the consultation process from the beginning, attended numerous consultation meetings, read endless documents, raised questions, submitted evidence and listened carefully to the experts, in the hope that my concerns would be addressed by EDF and I could be confident that their proposals were sound. However I am sorry to say that this has not been the case the reality has been that as the planning process has progressed and the planning inspectorate have asked EDF for more detail on their proposals the more it has exposed the inadequacy of EDFs plans, it is almost farcical.

I was appalled to learn that after years of planning and negotiation it was only at deadline 9 that EDF disclosed that there is no secure long term water supply and that as part of the project design EDF are now requesting permission to build a water desalination plant.

I am extremely concerned that the Sizewell C site is inadequate both in size and geology to support the project. Year on year local people see the coastline change recent changes just up the coast have resulted in the degradation of coastal defences. I do not believe that the current proposals for sea defences to protect the site now and in the future can guarantee the security of the site in the long term.

I remain unconvinced that they have a reliable transport strategy and that it will be possible to safely and efficiently deliver the huge amounts of construction materials to the site without adversely impacting the ability of local communities to access services for example schools, health services, shops, etc.

EDF insist that building these unproven nuclear power stations will address the problem of local unemployment and bring long term prosperity to the area. There is currently very little unemployment in this area and indeed local businesses here, as across the country, are currently having difficulties filling vacancies. The local economy currently supports a healthy tourist industry which will be destroyed if this project is allowed to go ahead.

I do not believe that the mitigation strategies will protect the biodiversity of the area. Building such a huge development in an area of outstanding natural beauty and on SSSI sites is morally and ethically wrong. We will be destroying habitats which will never recover and depriving future generations of the opportunity to enjoy the wonders of this unique environment while leaving them with a degraded coastline and nuclear waste to manage long after those making these decisions are dead.

Despite EDF trying to sell Sizewell C as a way of generating clean energy and a way of contributing to the UK carbon reduction measures Nuclear Energy is not Green it is not carbon neutral. It will take years to build the plant and it can not be seen as a way of safely, cleanly and efficiently addressing the current energy needs of this country.

None of my concerns as to who will own the project, how much it will cost and how long it will take to build have been alleviated. I remain unconvinced that we can fund this project without placing further financial burdens on already struggling families. It would be catastrophic if permission was given for this project to go ahead without sound financial guarantees as this is likely to result in the destruction of communities, livelihoods, the environment and an abandoned project.

EDfs plans are wholly inadequate badly thought through and continue to disregard the concerns of local communities. They are trying to fit a very large square peg into a very small round hole. Not to secure electricity supplies , to reduce carbon emissions, or provide job security. The only reason EDF are requesting permission to build this prohibitively expensive unproven Nuclear power station at Sizewell is for financial gain. The consortium own the land and there is no guarantee that if permission is granted that they will fund or manage the build.

You may remember one local business man speaking emotionally and passionately, telling you that local communities felt like they were facing Goliath and that we were David, he asked you to be our sling shot. I ask you to think about the communities and all of the people you have heard over several months and to consider the long term impacts on the lives of future generations. As I said in my oral submission we should not sell our children's and grandchildren's future for short term gains. Let's not leave our children and

grandchildren with a legacy of nuclear waste, burdensome debt and a degraded environment to manage let's leave them something to be proud of I beg you not to grant permission for the development of Sizewell C. Thank you

Susan Morrice